



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/484,549	01/18/2000	Korbin Van Dyke	01000.9901080	9816
24228 75	590 11/13/2003		EXAMINER	
MARKISON & RECKAMP, PC			ALI, SYED J	
PO BOX 06229			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WACKER DR			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606-0229			2127	7
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003	, /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PLG			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
Advisory Action	09/484,549	VAN DYKE ET AL.				
riavious y riouon	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Syed J Ali	2127				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED October 23, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	cation. A proper report can place the application of the capplication of the capplicat	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance states and the states are single states and the states are single states are single states are single states are single states are states	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	`,					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Exar	niner.			

WILLIAM GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

11/6/13

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 09/484,549

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant has canceled previously filed independent claims 1 and 13, and has filed new independent claims 15, 16, and 17. The new independent claims present limitations not previously presented, and do not clearly place the application in condition for allowanc, and thus would necessitate a new search. Furthermore, significant arguments have been presented that can not be fairly considered unless a new search was to be conducted that netted the best available prior art.. Additionally, after reviewing the Final Rejection, paper number 5, it is noted that while the Office Action summary referred to claims 1-14 being rejected and the Office Action addressed each of Applicant's arguments, Examiner inadvertently failed to refer to paper number 3 for the details of the rejections. Paper number 3 was intented to be incorporated by reference into paper number 5. Examiner apologizes for the oversight.